UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,051	12/29/2000	Norman Szalony	10541/107	8992
29074	7590 10/06/2003		EXAM	INER
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			DONOVAN, LINCOLN D	
	P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60611			PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	iL 00011		2832	·

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, .	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/752,051	SZALONY, NORMAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lincoln Donovan	2832			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. s period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl pretor for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimuly vill apply and will expire SIX, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15.	luly 2003 .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	ion of Claims					
	4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 14-21</u> is/are rejected.					
· —	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	•	o priority under 35 C	33 120 and/01 121.			
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\	ention Summan (PTO 443) Pener No(a)			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:			
S. Patent and To TOL-326 (R	rademark Office ev. 04-01) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 11			

Application/Control Number: 09/752,051

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 4-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected claimed inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 10.

Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the accelerating process of group II and the film layer of group III do not have separate utility from group I and it is possible to search all of the claims of the present invention together. This is not found persuasive because the maintenance of the magneto-rheological substance can be carried out without the accelerated bonding process of II or using the specific film of III. The searches for groups II and III require differing considerations than that of I.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

Figures 7-8 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to

Application/Control Number: 09/752,051

Art Unit: 2832

identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-3 and 14-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,581,740 in view of Szalony [US 6,528,110].

Szalony '740 discloses the use of mechanical device formed of a plurality of magnetorheological impregnated metal plates [10].

Szalony '740 discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific method of maintaining the substance in a static position.

Szalony '110 discloses the use of an electric charge or magnetic field to maintain an impregnated substrate in a static state.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use the method of Sazlony '110 for the component plates of Szalony '740 for the purpose of maintaining the necessary friction to operate the device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 703 308-3111. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on (703) 308-7619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,051

Art Unit: 2832

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1920.

Idd (1) 9/25/03 ETTO POUP 2100 FRIVEROUP 2100